THE ALCOHOL INDUSTRY AND THE UK RESEARCH AGENDA

Professor Robin Davidson
Consultant Clinical Psychologist
YORK 2005

"The most dramatic trend influencing the direction of science during the past century has been its increasing dependence on funding from government and industry"

Rampton & Stauber (2002)

"Comparisons between industry sponsored research and independent research have demonstrated that industry sponsored research downplayed side effects and harm"

Gotzsche (2005)

HOW CAN THE UK ALCOHOL INDUSTRY INFLUENCE RESEARCH (AI)

- CORE FUNDS SCIENTIFIC GROUPS eg Alcohol Research Group Edinburgh
- SOCIAL ASPECTS ORGANISATIONS (SAO) PRODUCE QUASI JOURNALS/MONOGRAPHS
 eg International Centre for Alcohol Policy: ICAP Review
- EVEN HIGH IMPACT FACTOR JOURNALS INDIRECTLY PART FUNDED BY THE INDUSTRY eg Alcohol and Alcoholism
- SAO OFFER ACADEMICS INDUCEMENTS TO REVIEW SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS
 eg Alcohol and the Public Good (1994)

 The AI disputes the evidence that volume of consumption is closely linked to population harm

 The AI advocates preventative measures with no evidence of effectiveness eg, education, advertising codes

 Essentially evidence based social policy initiatives will reduce alcohol sales and profits.

THE TEST CASE

THE PORTMAN GROUP

- An SAO funded by the UKAI in order to speak on social aspects of alcohol
- It acts to reduce the misuse of alcohol by the "minority"
- Established in 1989 by eight leading UK drinks producers eg: Barcardi, Carlsberg, Diageo, Scottish and Newcastle, Coors Brewers UK, Pernod Ricard UK

- Publishers of the Quarterly Review of Alcohol Research describe as "a regular easily digested summary of recent research"
- First CE was John Rae (former Headmaster of Westminster School)
- Current CE is Jean Coussins appointed in 1996. Prior to this she was Director of Social Policy to the Commission for Racial Equality

ALCOHOL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH COUNCIL (AERC)

 Established in 1982 with funds already designated to compensate pub owners whose livelihood was affected by the licensing law changes (currently £11m capital fund)

 Board has 15 members (normally a 6 year contract) appointed by the Secretary of State for Culture, Media & Sport

Approximately:

- 3 are from the AI
- 4 are educators
- 8 are from the alcohol clinical/research community

The AERC has:

- a Code of Practice and a clear committee structure
- Two sub committees
 - Research Committee
 - Developing People Committee

AERC RESEARCH PRIORITIES (2005)

- The influence of product development and marketing on binge drinking amongst young people in the UK
- The extent to which the drinks industry adheres to current controls
- The nature of the interaction between the UK AI and the UK Government

SAFE GUARDS - AERC

- Code of Practice which excludes industry members from the research committee
- The Research Committee decides:
 - an allocation of funding
 - selecting projects
 - reviewing applications
 - commissioning referees
 - ongoing monitoring of projects
 - dissemination of results

 The Delphic Process is used to produce annual research priorities protecting against vested interest

 In recent years the AERC has worked diligently to become transparent, democratic and unbiased in its decision making Jean Coussins advised Edwards, West, Babor, Hall & Marsden to "get over it"

She described their advice as a "rant"

 She felt the journal was conducting a "vendetta" against the Portman Group

 She made the "blindingly obvious point" that she was on AERC as Jean Coussins rather than the CE of the Portman Group

ADDICTION ADVICE TO DEAL WITH THE "THREAT TO THE INTEGRITY OF THE SCIENCE BASE"

Researchers should cease to bid for AERC funds

 Researchers should not act as referees for AERC projects

AERC board members advised to resign

ISSUES

- Pragmatism -v- Principle
 - A complex world in which almost everyone has vested interests
- Baby and Bath Water
 - If members resign addiction research UK will lose the fund
- Case by Case
 - Each case a vested interest should be judged on outcomes, ie evidence based judgements

Editorial Policy of Addiction

 Do we need clarity on the journals attitude to AERC funded submissions

AERC Structure

Is the AERC still robust, transparent and independent

Group Polarisation

Groups move opinion from the centre ground

THANK YOU